

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 4428 03871-P0001B John A. Rotondo 07/01/2003 10/611,511 EXAMINER 04/08/2004 24126 7590 ST. ONGE STEWARD JOHNSTON & REENS, LLC TANNER, HARRY B 986 BEDFORD STREET ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER STAMFORD, CT 06905-5619 3744

DATE MAILED: 04/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

6		1/1/1
5 PS	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/611,511	ROTONDO, JOHN A.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Harry B. Tanner	3744
The MAILING DATE of this communication		vith the correspondence address
eriod for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC at the cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
tatus		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	•	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ .	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	owance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex part</i> e Q <i>uayl</i> e, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
isposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exal	miner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abey	/ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	prrection is required if the drawi	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attact	ned Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.S.C	c. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	- , -	
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received in	Application No
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have be	en received in this National Stage
application from the International B	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies r	not received.
Attachment(s)	_	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	, B	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S 	o)	of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) [X] Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/10/2003.	6) Other:	·

Application/Control Number: 10/611,511

Art Unit: 3744

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Weber. Weber discloses an air conditioner control comprising a control unit 160 having electrical plug 72, electrical socket 76-2, and relay 70 and a thermostat 152 located remotely from the control unit for generating a control signal in response to temperature and time of day (see col. 10, lies 52-65).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weber in view of Wong. Weber is applied as in the rejection of claim 1 above. Wong teaches the use of a thermostat that controls an air conditioner in response to temperature, the time of day and the day of the week (see col.2, lines 5-8). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the system of Weber such that it included controlling the air conditioner in response to the day of the week in view of the teachings of Wong.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,511

Art Unit: 3744

Claims 3, 4, 7, 8 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weber in view of Roberts. Weber is applied as in the rejection of claim 1 above. Roberts teaches the use of a circuit breaker with reset means 20 in a wall plug 10 in order to protect an appliance from excessive current (see col.3, line 56 to col. 4, line 13). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the system of Weber such that it included the use of a circuit breaker with reset means in the wall plug control unit 160 in order to protect the air conditioner from excessive current in view of the teachings of Roberts.

Claims 5 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weber in view of Roberts as applied to claim 3 above, and further in view of Ceola et al. Ceola teaches the use of a light 224 in order to indicate that a circuit breaker has been tripped (see col. 6, lines 47-62). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the circuit breaker of Roberts such that it included the use of a light in order to indicate that the circuit breaker has been tripped in view of the teachings of Ceola.

Claims 6 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weber in view of Roberts and Ceola et al as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of Official Notice. Official Notice is taken that the use of LEDs as indicator lights was conventional at the time the invention was made. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the indicator light of Ceola such that it was an LED.

Art Unit: 3744

Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weber in view of Roberts as applied to claim 3 above, and further in view of Wong as applied to claim 2 above.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weber in view of Roberts and Wong as applied to claim 12 above, and further in view of Ceola as applied to claim 5 above.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weber in view of Roberts, Wong and Ceola as applied to claim 14 above, and further in view of Official Notice as applied to claim 6 above.

Harry B. Tanner
Primary Examiner

Harry Tanner April 5, 2004 703-308-2622